

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,598	CAVALLARO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. S. Nakarani	1773	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) D. S. Nakarani.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Simon B. Anolick, Attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 June 2006

Time: 11:30 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Since application does not have drawing, Mr. Anolick agreed to delete lines 20-22 on page 2, which refers to "Description of Figures" and changing the text under the heading "Application of Protective Coatings". For the details of the changed text, see Examiners amendment. .